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ABSTRACT: Recent discussions of the Benedictus have focused on its function in Luke-Acts. However, little if no attention has been given to the persuasive force of the passage and how it may have functioned to create a divine encounter for the implied audience. This study will address this gap. Following a brief survey of Luke’s purpose and a discussion of the nature of prophecy, I will argue, first, that divine revelation was often cast in poetic form. Second, I will describe the poetic features of the Benedictus, emphasizing its divine nature. Third, I will explain the ancient perception that deities had a superior ability to name persons, places, and objects. I will follow this discussion with a listing of the divinely authored names in the Benedictus. Fourth, I will contend that the Old Testament vocabulary and the tone of confidence in Zechariah’s prophecy further enhances its divine nature. Finally, considering the above, I will explain how a skilled lector reading the prophecy to Luke’s original audience may have allowed them to experience something of the aural presence of God.
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Recent discussions of the Benedictus1 have focused on its function in Luke-Acts.2 However, little if no attention has been given to the persuasive force of the passage and how it may have functioned to create a divine encounter for the implied audience.3 Given that Zechariah’s message was a Spirit endowed

---

1 The first word in Latin of Zachariah’s prophecy in Luke 1:68–79 and thus the title of the oracle.
3 The audience Luke is attempting to persuade.
prophecy (Luke 1:67)—a word from God to humanity—this essay will demonstrate how Luke crafts the message of the Benedictus as God’s voice, thereby persuading his recipients to trust what is spoken and remain faithful to their confession regardless of the pressures to do otherwise.

Following a brief survey of Luke’s purpose and a discussion of the nature of prophecy, I will argue, first, that divine revelation was often cast in poetic form. Second, I will describe the poetic features of the Benedictus, emphasizing its divine nature. Third, I will explain the ancient perception that deities had a superior ability to name persons, places, and objects. I will follow this discussion with a listing of the divinely authored names in the Benedictus. Fourth, I will contend that the Old Testament vocabulary and the tone of confidence in Zechariah’s prophecy further enhances its divine nature. Finally, considering the above, I will explain how a skilled lector reading the prophecy to Luke’s original audience may have allowed them to experience something of the aural presence of God.

1. Luke’s Purpose

Several scholars contend that Luke had written his Gospel and Acts for a Gentile Christian audience, or at least one that was predominantly Gentile Christian.4 This is particularly true for Gentiles who had been attracted to Judaism, the God of Israel, and the worship of the synagogue, and are now confronted with the ramifications of the coming of Jesus and the establishment of the Christian faith. These Gentile God-fearers were also likely being confronted by Jews who considered Christianity to be a dangerous perversion of their Jewish heritage, and who were urging them to remain a follower of traditional Judaism, rejecting the claims of the Christian faith.5 Framing Zechariah’s words as direct divine revelation, perhaps even perceived by the audience as an aural encounter with God, Luke is persuading these Gentile God-fearers that Christianity is being offered to the non-Jew as the completion and fulfillment of the Judaism they have been following. While clearly written for Gentile readers, Luke and Acts also has several Jewish elements (e.g. use of the Old Testament and reference to Jewish messianic ideas), thereby appealing to and persuading a Jewish audience as well.


2. Prophecy and Spirit Filling: Indicators of a Divine Message

According to Luke 1:67, Zechariah is to commence speaking a prophecy (ἐπροφήτευσαν), which by its very nature was a divine message directed at a human audience (e.g. Jer 1:9; Isa 51:16). The text reads “Then his father Zechariah was filled with the Holy Spirit and spoke this prophecy…” It was believed that a prophet of God in some way joined the divine assembly, overhearing the discussion, learning about the divine plans, which he then was to proclaim to the proper recipients (e.g. 1 Kgs 22:19; Isa 6; Amos 3:6–7). This is evident in Yahweh’s rhetorical question concerning false prophets: “For who has stood in the council of the Lord so as to see and to hear his word? Who has given heed to his word so as to proclaim it?” (Jer 23:18).

Further, Zechariah is said to be “filled with the Holy Spirit” (ἐπλήσθη πνεύματος ἁγίου; Luke 1:67), which refers to a state where one has the prophetic presence of God, manifested in the words that are to be spoken (e.g. Hos 9:7; Mic 3:8; Wis 7:22, 25; Sir 39:6). For Luke, when a person was “filled with the Spirit” they had divinely given ability to say exactly what God wanted said (e.g. Acts 2:4; 4:31; 9:17, 20–22; 13:9, 52 [cf. Acts 14:1]).

The Greek poet Hesiod related his encounter with the Muses, who influenced his speech in a manner similar to how the holy Spirit functioned in the Jewish and Christian traditions. The Muses breathed (ἐνέπνευσαν) a divine voice into Hesiod (Hesiod, Theog. 31). Philo described his own experience of inspiration as one who was empty of ideas prior to any divine initiative, but once he was in a state of divine possession became πλήρης (full) of language and ideas (Philo, Migr. 35). When the Spirit was present in a person, it could manifest itself in poetry, prophecy, visions, ecstasy, and glossolalia. Thus, prior to even hearing the divine message, we are informed that Spirit inspired Zechariah is to be proclaiming a prophetic word from God.

Zechariah begins his oracle saying, “Blessed be the Lord, the God of Israel” (Luke 1:68; Εὐλογητὸς κύριος ὁ θεὸς τοῦ Ἰσραήλ). Several elements in this phrase establish an atmosphere of reverence for God. First, the adjective ἐυλογητὸς

6 Warren Carter (“Zechariah and the Benedictus (Luke 1:68–79): Practicing What He Preaches,” Bib 69 [1988] 243) has observed that ἐπροφήτευσαν is not used in any of its five other Lukan occurrences to designate words directed towards God but instead words directed to a human audience.
7 All biblical quotations are from the NRSV unless otherwise noted.
9 In the aorist tense, ἐπλήσθη (filled) can describe a momentary experience, but it can also refer to the beginning of a new state.
rendered “blessed” means “praised.” With the words “Blessed [Praised] be the Lord,” Zechariah uses a common Jewish exclamation of blessing and praise that was practiced at meals, in the synagogue, and at festal occasions (cf. Tob 13:1 and Sg Three 29–68). The blessing formula, or berakhah comes from the Hebrew term בָּרָךְ. The word בָּרָךְ is frequently translated as εὐλογητός (blessed) in the Septuagint (e.g., Dan 3:28 [3:26 LXX]; Ps 31:21 [30:22 LXX]; 41:13 [40:14 LXX], Zech 11:5 LXX). In Hebrew בָּרָךְ is a verb that literally means to fall on one’s knees. Thus, in both word and posture, Zechariah sets a reverent tone for the extremely poetic oracle that follows.

3. The Divine Nature of Poetry

Texts recounting divine revelations have generally been highly and even exceptionally poetic texts. Poetry in the ancient world was considered the language of the gods. The oracles at Delphi, with the priestess of Apollo, as well as the sibyls at various sites in the ancient world like Cumae (near Naples), delivered their prophecies typically in hexameter verses. The Muses gave poets the ability to craft beautiful songs and poems (e.g. Homer, Od. 8.481; Hesiod, Theog. 22). In the Hellenistic and Roman periods, the Greeks widely accepted the divine inspiration of poetry (e.g. Homer, Od. 8.44, 64, 488, 498; 17.518; 22.347). Socrates stated that poets “don’t do what they do from wisdom, but from some natural inspiration, like prophets and oracle mongers” (Plato, Apol. 22b8–c2). Again Socrates noted remarked about the poet, “For the god, as it seems to me, intended him to be a sign to us that we should not waver or doubt that these fine poems are not human or the work of men, but divine and the work of gods; and that the poets

16 Christopher D. Stanley (“Paul and Homer: Greco-Roman Citation Practice in the First Century,” NovT 32 [1990] 51–52) argues that Homer was widely regarded as genuine divine truth in the first century Greco-Roman world.
are merely the interpreters of the gods, according as each is possessed by one of the heavenly powers” (Plato, *Ion* 534e–535a).18

The biblical prophets also composed their divine messages in a poetic and musical style (e.g. Isa 5; Ezek 33:32). Some of the Psalms, which are poetic by nature, contain oracles where God is addressing Israel, or the nations, or pagan deities (e.g. Ps 81:6–16, 82:2–7). As Robert Alter has said, poetry is our best human model of complex and rich communication, being “solemn, weighty, and forceful.”19 Zechariah’s poetic oracle suggests that both his audience and the Lukan one as well believed they were hearing more than human words but a divine declaration, thereby underscoring the prophecy’s authority and trustworthiness.

Because of the danger associated with being in the divine presence (e.g. Gen 16:13, 32:30; Exod 33:18–20; Judg 6:22–23; 13:22), it might be argued that poetic language served as sort of a literary garb, clothing the divine voice in a “veil” to protect the listener from the searing vocal presence of God.20 The aural presence of God was considered a threat to mortals (Exod 20:18–19; Deut 5:22–27). For example, when Elijah heard the voice of God, having been struck dumb, he wrapped his face in his mantle (1 Kgs 19:11–13).

Consequently, it is appropriate that divine speech should be represented as poetry. By setting Zechariah’s oracle in poetry, Luke was “putting divine speech in special divine speech quotation marks.”21 In the next section we will examine the numerous poetic features of the Benedictus, emphasizing the divine character of the oracle.

### 4. Poetic Features in the Benedictus

Poetry may best be defined through the volume of the various poetic conventions or literary devices present in a text—devices such as parallelism, metaphoric language, and various rhetorical figures of speech. If a passage contains a substantial amount of these devices, it can likely be labeled as poetry. A prose text is characterized by the presence of far less of these features.

There are several poetic features that we can identify in Zechariah’s prophetic text. First, the Benedictus displays a neat poetic arrangement. It is framed by an *inclusio* structure. The proclamation of a divine visitation (ἐπεσκέψατο and

---

21 Katie M. Heffelfinger (“More than Mere Ornamentation,” 38) makes this statement regarding the prophetic oracles of the Old Testament.
ἐπισκέψεται) dominates both the beginning and ending (Luke 1:68, 78), emphasizing the theme of the oracle—God’s benevolent, salvific intervention on behalf of humanity.

68 Εὐλογητός κύριος ὁ θεός τοῦ Ἰσραήλ,
ὅτι ἐπεσκέψατο καὶ ἐποίησεν λύτρωσιν τῷ λαῷ αὐτοῦ,
καὶ ἤγειρεν κέρας σωτηρίας ἡμῖν
ἐν οίκῳ Δαυὶδ παιδὸς αὐτοῦ,
καθὼς ἐλάλησεν διὰ στόματος τῶν ἁγίων ἀπ’ αἰώνοις προφητῶν αὐτοῦ,
σωτηρίαν ἐξ εὐθρόν ἡμῶν
καὶ ἐκ χειρός πάντων τῶν μισοῦντων ἡμᾶς,
pοιήσατε ἐλεος μετὰ τῶν πατέρων ἡμῶν
καὶ μνησθῆναι διαθήκης ἁγίας αὐτοῦ,
ὅρκον ὑπὸ τῆς ἁγίας διαθήκης αὐτοῦ,
τοῦ δοῦνα ἡμῖν 74 ἀφόβως ἐκ χειρὸς εὐθρόν ἤνωσθεν τῷ ἀναστήματι
ἐν ὁσιότητι καὶ δικαιοσύνῃ ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ πάσαις ταῖς ἡμέραις ἡμῶν.

68 Blessed be the Lord, the God of Israel,
because he has visited to help and has redeemed his people,
and has raised up a horn of salvation for us in the house of his servant David,
just as he spoke through the mouth of his holy prophets from earliest times—
salvation from our enemies
and from the hand of all those who hate us,
to show mercy to our fathers
and to remember his holy covenant,
the oath that he swore to Abraham our father,
to grant us 74 that we, being rescued from the hand of our enemies,
could serve him without fear 75 in holiness and righteousness
before him all our days.

66 And so you, child, will be called
the prophet of the Most High,
for you will go on before the Lord to prepare his ways,
to give knowledge of salvation to his people
by the forgiveness of their sins,
because of the merciful compassion of our God
by which the dawn will visit to help us from on high,
to give light to those who sit in darkness and in the shadow of death,
to direct our feet into the way of peace.\textsuperscript{22}

Second, the Benedictus is characterized by the basic building block of poetry—the couplet, which consists of two contiguous lines related to each other by form and by content. Most lines of the Benedictus can be considered couplets, including verses 68, 69, 71, 72, 76, 77, 78, 79, and perhaps, 74b–75. While parallelism,\textsuperscript{23} a distinctive feature of ancient Near Eastern poetry, does characterize some of these couplets (vv. 71, 72, 79), it is not present in all of them. However, repetition in the form of conduplicatio (\textit{Rhet. Her.} 4.18.38)\textsuperscript{24} is apparent in the reuse of various key or thematic words in the poem. Since repetition of these words emphasizes certain themes and deepens their meaning by using them in several contexts, it has the poetic effect of parallelism.\textsuperscript{25} Key repeated words include the noun “σωτηρίας” in verse 69 that is restated in verse 71 (σωτηρίαν). The word “enemies” (ἐχθρῶν) in verse 71 reappears in verse 73. The term “hand” (χειρὸς) appears in verses 71 and 74. “Father(s)” (πατέρων // πατέρα) occurs in verse 72 and then again in verse 73. Finally, the noun “way” or “path” (ὁδοὺς // ὁδὸν) is used in both verses 76 and 79.\textsuperscript{26} So, the loosely strung but linked clauses, with several repeating words create a pattern of “emphasis and enrichment,” of key themes in the oracle.\textsuperscript{27}

Finally, other poetic devices in the Benedictus include polyptoton (\textit{Rhet. Her.} 4.21.29–4.23.32; \textit{Inst.} 9.3.36–37),\textsuperscript{28} employed in the terms σωτηρίας and σωτηρίας (salvation), and πατέρα and πατέρων (father[s]).\textsuperscript{29} Further, in

\textsuperscript{22} \textit{Lexham English Bible.}
\textsuperscript{23} True parallelism, traditionally called “synonymous parallelism,” is a twofold statement of a single idea or concept that employs near synonymous or related vocabulary in a symmetrical fashion. “Synthetic parallelism” is a structure in which the second line supplements the first. Antithetic parallelism is identified when parallel statements are placed in opposition to one another.
\textsuperscript{24} The figure in which there is a repetition of one or more words for the purpose of amplification or appeal to pity.
\textsuperscript{26} Tannehill, \textit{The Narrative Unity}, I, 34.
\textsuperscript{27} Tannehill, \textit{The Narrative Unity}, I, 33–34.
\textsuperscript{28} The figure in which the cases of the words are changed. It can be effective when recited out loud as the speaker stresses the repeated root.
\textsuperscript{29} H.E. Mendez, \textit{Canticles in Translation. The Treatment of Poetic Language in the Greek, Gothic, Classical Armenian, and Old Church Slavonic Gospels} (Diss. The University of Georgia; Athens 2013) 64.
verse 75b, homoeoptoton is utilized, where similar case endings in the phrase πάσαις ταῖς ἡμέραις (all [our] days) adds rhythm, rhyme, and emphasis to the length of time God’s people can serve him without fear (Rhet Her. 4.20.28; Quintilian, Inst. 9.3.78–79). Setting Zechariah’s oracle in poetry allowed Luke to present him as speaking in the persona of God, underscoring the reliability of the message and possibly increasing the sense of the vocal divine presence for the Lukan audience as they heard the words recited.

5. The Divine Name-Giver

Not only was poetry considered to be the language of the deities, but it was also believed that the gods’ language was superior to humans. This was reflected in their ability to assign better sounding and more perfect names to a god, a person, or an object (Homer, Il. 1.403; 2.813; 14.291; 20.74).30 Names assigned by a deity belonged to a higher, more poetic register. Socrates explained that names were originally designed by a “name-giver,” if, who was not himself a god, was at least someone closer to gods than men, and therefore, had superior wisdom (Plato, Crat. 434a). The difference between the language of humanity and the language of the gods is that the latter uses meaningful names, which reflect the nature of the referent, while the former uses unclear ones (Plato, Crat. 391e).31 Divine language was believed to be more perfect than human vernacular because there was a logical relationship between form (the name) and meaning of a word, whereas in human language there is none.32 For example, the god Hermes’s name was given as “Eiremes” signifying one who was tricky, deceptive. Thus, the divine designation describes one who was contriving in his speech (εἴρεων [speech] ἐμήσατο[contrived]). The name has been “beautified” to Hermes (Plato, Cratylus 408a–b).33 Metaphors and other referential divine language are closer to perfection than human language because the material form of a word matches the meaning of the word.34

---

Divine naming or re-naming of persons was also characteristic of God in the Bible. God changed Abraham’s name from Abram (“exalted father”) to Abraham (“father of a multitude”; [Gen 17:5]). God changed Sarai’s name to Sarah (Gen 17:15). He named Abraham’s son Isaac (“he laughs”) because of the laughter of his parents at the possibility of a birth so late in their life (Gen 17:17–19). In Isaiah 8:1–4, God names Isaiah’s son Maher-shalal-hash-baz, which means “quick to the plunder, swift to the spoil.” As a messenger of God, Gabriel names Mary’s son Jesus, “for he will save his people from their sins” (Matt 1:21; Luke 1:31).35

Zechariah’s poetic oracle contains names in the form of metaphors and other referential language, exhibiting the more precise divine vocabulary discussed above. As will be demonstrated, it reveals more of the true nature of the referent, who in the Benedictus, is God or the Messiah. For example, in verse 69, “horn of salvation” (κέρας σωτηρίας) is a metaphor for describing divine strength or power where the imagery is that of the erect horns of a powerful animal. Here it serves as a designation for the Messiah. Another descriptive term, exemplifying a more perfect divine grammar is the designation υψίστου (“Most High”), which is the title applied to Yahweh as an explicit assertion of his distinctiveness above all other gods (e.g. Ps 97:9; 83:18). It is also a title associated with his kingship (Ps 47:2). It deliberately stresses the transcendence of God and highlights divine otherness and exclusiveness. Finally, the term ἀνατολή (dawn) can refer to the upward movement of celestial bodies, the position of the rising sun in the east, or a change from darkness to light (Luke 1:78). Here it is employed as a title, “the one arising,” or “the dawn,” where it functions as a messianic metaphor and denotes the appearing of the Messiah as a divine light (originating ἐξ ὕψους [“from on high”]) that shines upon God’s people.37 All these functional designations suggest a divine name-giver, and, in addition to the sophisticated language of the poetic prophecy, it emphasizes to those in earshot of Zechariah, and to the original Lukan audience that they are hearing a trustworthy word from God.

35 See also Gen 16:11; 1 Chron 22:9.
36 BDAG, “ἀνατολή,” 74.
37 In the Septuagint, ἀνατολή can also translate צמח and refer to a “branch,” or “shoot,” which is also one of the stereotypical messianic titles (e.g. Jer 23:5; Zech 3:8; 6:12). It is noteworthy that in Zechariah 3:8 LXX it is rendered ἐγὼ ἄγω τὸν δοῦλόν μου Ἀνατολήν (“my servant, Anatole” [Lexham English Septuagint]). In Hebrew it is rendered איש צמח (“my servant the Branch”).
6. Divine Vocabulary from the Old Testament

In addition to the presence of the more precise divinely given names, the Benedictus contains a significant amount of language and vocabulary from the Old Testament, especially the Psalms and prophetic books of Isaiah. Most scholars note numerous instances of vocabulary from the Old Testament present in the Benedictus, but agree at least on the following. In verse 71, the terminology “hand of the foe or enemy” is similar to Psalm 106:10. In verse 72, to “remember his holy covenant” is an echo from Psalm 105:8 and 106:45. Verse 76 “for you will go on before the Lord to prepare his ways” echoes Malachi 3:1 and Isaiah 40:3. Finally, verse 78 alludes to Isaiah 9:2 and verse 79 alludes to Isaiah 59:8. It is noteworthy that the first half of Zechariah’s words come from the Psalms, (remembering that according to Luke [Luke 24:44] the Psalms were prophetic words), while the second half mimics the prophetic texts. Luke has formulated Zechariah’s words from sources that were deemed authoritative and sacred by his audience. The stylistic use of Old Testament language reflects the author’s attempt to reproduce Hebrew praise and prophecy. Pseudo-Longinus felt that by mimicking the style of past authorities it endowed a speaker with inspiration and expressiveness as if his words were an emanation from a holy tongue similar to the Pythian priestess who after having been possessed with the divine power was inspired to utter oracles ([Subl.] 13.2–3; 14.1). Luke demonstrates this practice by borrowing specific expressions and motifs from the former prophets.

While none of the Benedictus contains any direct quotations from the Old Testament, Anna Wierzbicka’s “theatrical” theory of quotations is applicable. The theory posits that in using quotes from others an author is dramatizing the words of an earlier speaker to a later audience, and thereby temporarily assuming the role of that speaker. Thus, in employing stylistic language from the Old Testament, Zechariah is assuming the role of Israel’s respected prophets and for a moment takes on the persona of the Psalmist, Malachi, and Isaiah, who had delivered authoritative words from God in the past.


39 As noted by McNicol (“Rebuilding the House of David,” 30).

7. Divine Tone and Emotions

Hearing Zechariah’s oracle as a divine encounter not only presents the words of God, but also the emotions that the voice both expresses and elicits. The emotions of this encounter are not incidental but are the heart of the experience of hearing the speaker’s address. In addition to poetry as an emotionally charged form, expressions of supreme confidence in the Benedictus convey the power and majesty of God. The declarations that through John and Jesus, God would rescue his people from their enemies, give knowledge of salvation to them, give illumination for those dwelling in darkness and in the shadow of death, and direct them into the way of peace (Luke 1:71–79) are magnanimous promises of astounding feats, projecting extreme confidence and authority. For the Lukan audience, the poetico oracle presents the activities of a supremely confident and capable God who can be trusted.

8. Delivery of the Divine Voice

The Gospel of Luke was one of several texts that was likely read aloud as part of a Christian gathering for edification and instruction purposes (cf. 1 Thess 5:27, Col 4:16, 1 Tim 4:13; Rev 1:3). Societies where news and information are predominantly conveyed orally are called oral cultures. Oral cultures enjoy literature primarily through the ears rather than by reading silently with the eyes. Most people in the first-century Mediterranean world could not read according to modern standards of literacy. Scholars believe that the overall level of literacy in the first-century New Testament world was about twenty percent among men and a lower rate for women and individuals living in the provinces.
For the most part, reading was considered physical labor and carried little or no status. Pliny the Younger, who could read, spoke of hiring one of his slaves, who was a slightly better reader than himself, to publicly recite his poetry for him (Ep. 9.34). The congregations likely included both slaves and slave owners. Those slaves who were clerks may have been literate. Other literate groups included scribes, priests, Pharisees, Sadducees, and other religious teachers who provided the masses with access to the holy texts (e.g. Matt 12:3, 5; 19:4; 21:16, 42; 22:31). Thus, it is possible that someone from the church community would have been able to read Luke’s Gospel.

A public reading of a text likely involved some level of practice as opposed to a speaker delivering his speech, message, or story impromptu. Greek writing presented difficulties for the unprepared reader. Vocalization of ancient Greek texts required navigating through a “river of letters” since texts were written without any punctuation or gaps between words but were just a continuous sequence of capital letters. Thus, a speaker would need to be well acquainted with the work prior to reciting it before an audience, dedicating some time to regular practice (Plutarch, Dem. 8). To read aloud in public likely required a much higher degree of comprehension by the reader than it does today.

Additionally, to obtain the most convincing argument, lectors or readers might have borrowed some of the tactics of the professional speakers and adapted them for their public recitations. The techniques of the professional speaker set the standard for all kinds of public speech. This would involve the ability to correctly pronounce terms, clear vocal expression, and correct pronunciation of syllables. Because of the importance attributed to the voice, any fault or blemish was criticized by a relentless public (Lucian, Laps. 1; Plautus, Bacch. 432–434; Irenaeus, Haer. 3.7.1–2; Petronius, Satyricon 68). In addition, it would have been important for a speaker to be able to adapt his voice to bring out the character and meaning of the passage. Aristotle discussed how the voice should be adapted to express different emotions (Rhet. 3.1 passim). When Socrates asked Ion if he was aware that when he exhibits emotions in his performances that he generates the same feelings in his spectators, Ion replied that he was mindful of this influence he had on his audience (Plato, Ion 535b–e6). Antonius insisted that to move an audience, the orator must genuinely feel the emotions he wishes to arouse in them (Cicero, De Oratore 2.189–96). The ability to move an audience is an essential part of the orator’s art.

---


44 As noted by Penelope Murray (“Poetic Inspiration,” 163).
In the reading of Zechariah’s prophecy, the lector was not simply giving the impression of the character of Zechariah, rather in performance the prophet reappears. A transformation occurs at the moment of performance. The lector is not himself and at the same time he is himself—co-existing as multiple personalities. The lector not only personified the human dimension when reading Luke aloud, but also the divine. In some ways when a lector read Zechariah’s oracle to the original audience, he was inviting them into an encounter with the aural presence of God. While the divine word in the form of a poetic oracle conferred a message, they were also a means of an experience and encounter with the divine speaker. Poetry persuades, not through argument alone, but through encounter—encounter with the voice that speaks through the prophet.

Given that Zechariah’s oracle was literally the voice of God, would the words have been vocalized any differently by the lector or reader? The gods do not talk like humans. Homeric gods and the God of Scripture sound different from mortals. They are greater than humans, and so it is only natural that their voices are louder. A god’s shout sounds as loud as 9,000 or 10,000 warriors (Homer, I. 5.859–61, 14.147–51), sending mortals into panic (e.g. I.5.862–3, 15.320–1; Homer, Od. 24.48–9, 530). God spoke to the Israelites on the mountain with a voice that caused them great fear (Exod 20:18–19; Deut 5:22–27). In the Old Testament, terror of people experiencing the divine audible presence may be attributed to the volume of his voice, as God’s speech is often characterized as “mighty,” “powerful,” “roaring,” or “thunderous” (e.g., 1 Sam 7:10; 2 Sam 22:14; Ps 29:4; 46:6; Job 37:2; Jer 25:30; Amos 1:2). In Revelation, the one like the son of man is described as having a loud voice, imitating both the sound of many waters (1:15) and a trumpet (1:10). The voice from heaven (14:2) and from the heavenly throne (21:3) also broadcast loudly like many waters.

Did the lector raise his voice to reflect that God was speaking or articulate in a tone to lend credence to the confidence reflected in the oracle? There are inevitable limitations and a fair amount of speculation in attempting to determine the way a biblical passage was initially delivered. The original performances are lost, but it is important to note that in the ancient Mediterranean world, impersonation of a figure was a highly valued rhetorical device. Professional speakers employed impersonation to achieve a variety of purposes such as to display the inner thoughts of adversaries and to introduce conversations between themselves and others. Impersonation or prosopopoeia is the act of giving voice to a figure such as an opponent, a fictive representative of a people, an ancient nobleman raised

45 Heffelfinger, “More than Mere Ornamentation,” 52.
from the dead, or even to bring down the gods from heaven in order to strengthen the argument of the author or speaker who employs the device.\textsuperscript{48} According to Quintilian, these impersonations can be used 1) to reveal an opponent’s inner thoughts, 2) to introduce imaginary conversations, or 3) to supply an opportunity for the author to advise, to rebuke, to complain, to praise, or to mourn (Quintilian, \textit{Inst.} 9.2.30–31).\textsuperscript{49} The speaker would take the character’s fortune, social rank, and achievement into account, then communicate these elements by means of suitable gestures and vocal intonations.\textsuperscript{50} A skilled and prepared lector-reader, by utilizing an authoritative and confident tone, could present more fully that God was speaking when reciting Zechariah’s oracles.

\section*{9. Summary and Conclusion}

Through the divine language of poetry, divinely assigned titles, and Old Testament prophetic vocabulary, Zechariah’s oracles present a confident and trustworthy message describing God’s salvific visitation. Further, the oracle, fittingly spoken by a lector may have generated a second “divine visitation” for the Lukan audience upon experiencing the aural presence of God. Extravagant language such as that of the Benedictus can instill an attitude of awe or reverence to those listening, thereby persuading the Lukan audience to trust what is spoken and remain faithful to their confession regardless of the pressures to do otherwise.
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