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TRANSFORMATION OF EDUCATION AS THE PRECONDITION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EPISCOPAL SEE IN ALEXANDRIA IN SECOND AND THIRD CENTURY**

The most natural environment for a religious experience, kerygma, and doctrine of primitive Christians was undoubtedly grounded in the Jewish synagogue, Beth Knesset, which has been a central communal institution of Judaism. In fact, it had been the native educational milieu for Jesus himself, who must have been enrolled in a synagogal school in his childhood and later used it for preaching in his adult life. Even though until 70 CE Jerusalem Temple was the center of the Jewish cult, the synagogue clearly had its own particular function, serving as a local meetinghouse for study and, also, prayer. In effect, its administrators were none other than teachers, rabbis. When the Jerusalem Temple was destroyed, the synagogue became its surrogate. Consequently much of the liturgy and instruction of rabbinic Judaism and newly emerging Judeo-Christianity – even the times of statutory prayer and the number of services held on holidays and festivals – was framed to correspond with the rituals and rhythms of the defunct Temple cult1.

It is in the light of Jewish school (synagogue) that the emergence of the institutionalized Christian communities in Alexandria needs to be looked at, since being perhaps more radical in his theological and ethical demands than his contemporaneous Jewish milieu2, Jesus Christ and his immediate disci-
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ples were Palestinian *rabbis* (teachers), for whom their rabbinic vocation was of the immediate and decisive importance. Consequently, the fact that they were teachers had a crucial impact on early Christianity to a degree that early Christians had to become teachers in order to transmit the teaching of Christ (cf. Mt 28:19; Mk 16:15; Lk 24:47; Col 1:23).

A transition from the Jewish synagogue to the early Christian church/school was never a straightforward process. At the same time, the difference between school and church in early Christianity has never been clearly contoured. Today it is agreed that under Hellenistic influence the institution of the early Christian school and thus the status of teacher took on an entirely new form. Adolf von Harnack looked at the process through the prism of his thesis of the Hellenization of primitive Christianity, which, according to his thesis, by the end of the third century completely lost its genuinely "charismatic" character and succumbed to an institutionalized schooling formed in accordance with the customs, methods, and structure of Hellenistic education. Karl H. Rengstorf and recently Alfred F. Zimmermann examined the striking discontinuity between the first century Christian school that was still part of Jewish synagogal infrastructure and the second century Christian schools that confidently and actively embraced Hellenistically fostered instruction (Tertullian [c. 150-220] and Tatian [c. 120-180] being false exceptions, since undoubtedly they were also legitimate heirs to Greco-Roman upbringing).

K.H. Rengstorf and A.F. Zimmermann concluded that the distance and indeed the fracture between the two communities, Jewish and Christian, originally took place not so much in the realm of theological discourse as on the level of educational organization and technique. However, Werner Jaeger and Hans
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F. von Campenhausen demonstrated that there actually was a historical continuity between the first and following centuries of Christian schools, despite the drastic change that came into effect after early Christians opened the doors of their schools to non-Christians. As arguments in defense of this thesis, they demonstrated, first of all, that Christian schools of the second, third and fourth centuries remained part of the communal undertaking; and, second of all, the revelatory texts, scriptural learning and interpretation continuously played a central role for both the old and new churches, even though the methods and approaches to Christian education of the later periods were borrowed from the larger socially established and philosophically ingrained paradigm of Hellenistic paideia. Evangelically justified openness of early Christian schools to non-Jews and non-Christians attracted a significant number of new members, since these schools were easily accessible and in most cases free of charge and sponsored by the entire community, in contrast to Greco-Roman schools, which were open only to the élite, which thereby was maintaining and protecting its upper social status and requiring considerable fees for the complete course of studies.

The first three centuries of the formation of Christian school and its transition from a synagogal to Hellenistic educational forms brought forth several different types of early Christian teachers, who responded to different „talents of the Spirit”. They have been tentatively classified as prophets, itinerary and professional teachers, ministers and catechists, each of whom carried out their specific vocations even though their functions could easily overlap. Prophets received and conceived the main guidelines of divine revelation. Itinerary teachers charged by eschatological vigor traveled from town to town and preached the revelation, kerygma. Learned teachers explored, applied, and handed down the guidelines and content of the revelation and kerygma in a more structured and comprehensive way. At last, ministers and catechists made the utmost use of the kerygma for ecclesiastical structuralization and growth.

Classical paideia was the intellectual vehicle that by its constant dynamic evolution stood at the very center of the social structure, organization, and identity of the second and third century Alexandria. Harry Y. Gamble and William V. Harris have shown, that „granting regional and temporal variations, throughout the entire period of classical Greek, Hellenistic, and Roman imperial civilization, the extent of literacy was about 10 percent and never exceeded 15 to 20 percent of the population as a whole”.
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to the rule. One exception is the higher rank of the literate population in the re- 
formed post-Ezrian Palestine, higher than in the average Greco-Roman region 
due to the social structure and identity preserving/shaping nature of the syna-
gogal institution that provided means for schooling its young and adult mem-
bers: most men and some women must have been able to at least read Torah. 
Another exception is the replication of the literary infrastructure throughout 
the Jewish Diaspora outside Palestine. Therefore, special attention has to be 
paid to second-century Egypt and more specifically Alexandria as it remained 
the Greco-Roman educational and cultural capital of the Mediterranean basin, 
and also perhaps the largest and wealthiest Jewish Diaspora of the period.

Colin H. Roberts pointed out that there is simply not much concrete 
data for the early church in Alexandria before the installment of the bishop 
Demetrius (d. 232) in and around the year 189. It is generally agreed today that 
the list of successive bishops from the apostle Mark to Demetrius is simply 
Eusebius’ (c. 264-340) invention to fill in the gap between apostolic origins up 
to Demetrius. Yet even though there is not much information about the de-
velopment of the Christian institutional church, there is a broad discussion of 
the significant impact of classical paideia and Jewish education on the forma-
tion of early Christian communities and its generous and ample Alexandrian 
illustration in Clement’s (c. 150-c. 212) and Origen’s (c. 185-254) theological 
interpretations.
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For example, Adolf Knauber demonstrated that in the second-century Alexandria not only was there a clear understanding of a need for a school for catechumens but also that there was already intact a multilateral program, rules, and rituals that accompanied the program. To support his thesis he quoted Clement of Alexandria’s book *Paedagogus*:

„But we need a Teacher of the exposition of those sacred words, to whom we must direct our steps. And now, in truth, it is time for me to cease from my pedagogy, and for you to listen to the Teacher. And He, receiving you who have been trained up in excellent discipline, will teach you the Scriptures (τὰ λόγια). The church is here for the good, and the Bridegroom is the only Teacher, the good will of the good Father, the true wisdom, the sanctuary of knowledge“.

The majority of scholars emphasize Clement’s ecclesiastical inclination in this passage since the proper teaching of the sacred words, according to Clement, ought to take place within the space of the church. A. van den Hoek pointed out here that Eduard Schwarz even amended the phrase in MS P into διδασκαλεῖον δέ ἡ ἐκκλησία ἤδε into διδασκαλεῖον εἰς καλὸν ἤδε/ἡδε which slightly changes the meaning by turning the church into the school. This emendation, however, according to A. van den Hoek, who agrees with


14 Clemens Alexanderinus, Paedagogus III 12, 97, 3 - 98, 2, GCS 12, 289, 21-33: „πολλὰ δὲ καὶ δι᾽ αἰνιγμάτων, πολλὰ δὲ καὶ διὰ παραβολῶν τοὺς ἐντυγχάνοντιν ἐξεστὶν ὀφελεῖσθαι, ἀλλ’ ὁμίκ ἐμὸν, φησίν ὁ παϊδαγωγὸς, διδάσκειν ἐτί τεῦτα, διδασκάλου δὲ εἰς τὴν ἐξήγησιν τῶν ἀγίων ἐκείνων λόγων χρήσιμων, πρὸς ὅν ἦμιν βαδιστέων, καὶ δὴ ὥρα γε ἐμοὶ μὲν πεπαῦσθαι τῆς παιδαγωγίας, υἱὰς δὲ ἀκροαθῆ τοῦ διδασκάλου. παραβολῶν δὲ υἱὸς υἱὲς ὑπὸ καλὴ τεθραμμένους ἀγογή ἐκδιδάσκεται τὰ λόγια. διδασκαλεῖον δὲ ἡ ἐκκλησία ἤδε καὶ ὁ νυμφίος ὁ μόνος διδάσκαλος, ἀγαθὸν πατρὸς ἀγαθὸν βούλημα, σοφία γνήσιος, ἀγίασμα γνώσεως: «καὶ αὐτὸς ἰλασμὸς ἐστὶν περὶ τῶν ἀμαρτίων ἡμῶν», ὥς φησίν ὁ Ἰωάννης, ὁ ὄμοιμος ἡμῶν καὶ σῶμα καὶ ψυχὴν, τὸν ὄλον ἄνδρον, Ἰησοῦς, «οὐ περὶ τῶν ἡμέτέρων δὲ μόνον ἀμαρτίων, ἀλλὰ καὶ περὶ ὅλου τοῦ κόσμου. καὶ ἐν τούτῳ γινώσκομεν ὅτι γενόκομεν αὐτὸν, ἕαν τὰς ἐντολὰς αὐτοῦ τηρομένον» [1John 2:2-3].


Otto Stählin, is superfluous\textsuperscript{17} since „from Clement’s perspective… a contrast between church and school is nonexistent”\textsuperscript{18}. Even without such textual emendation it is very clear that Clement perceived the process of education within the boundaries of the ecclesial community.

Birger A. Pearson, Albertus F.J. Klijn, and C. Wilfred Griggs, to name just a few contemporary scholars of the earliest Christian Egyptian history, agree with Colin H. Roberts’ following opening remarks to his study of Christianity in Egypt that „the obscurity that veils the early history of the church in Egypt and that does not lift until the beginning of third century constitutes a conspicuous challenge to the historian of primitive Christianity”\textsuperscript{19}. It is widely recognized that the problem does not arise from a lack of evidence, for during the last three centuries hundreds of manuscripts and fragments at large that were written or circulated in the three first centuries of the Christian era have been discovered in Egypt. The evidence that we possess, however, does not specifically speak of the ways Christianity was founded and initially evolved in Egypt. Thus for scholars of early Christianity of this period, it takes much imagination and close reading of the extant literary and archeological evidence to deduce just what kind of communities these early Christian, Judeo-Christian, and Gnostic groups were and how they evolved before the installment of the Alexandrian bishop Demetrius in the late second and beginning of the third centuries, a point, from which on, the evidence is more informative and clear – with his episcopacy the domination of the ecclesiastically Catholic community managed to gradually absorb, accommodate, and structure the multitude of separate groups into one recognizable body.

Scholars usually point to at least three plausible explanations of the lack of clear information prior to Demetrius’ ecclesiastical enthronement. First, as pointed out by C.W. Griggs, in Lucan Acts of the Apostles Christian diffusion throughout the Mediterranean area was predominantly oriented towards Palestine, Asia Minor, and Europe and tended to focus less attention on the Egyptian vector. In addition, Paul’s missionary itinerary and epistolary com-

\textsuperscript{17} Cf. Van den Hoek, The ‘Catechetical’ School of Early Christian Alexandria, p. 65.
\textsuperscript{18} Van den Hoek, The ‘Catechetical’ School of Early Christian Alexandria, p. 71.
munication clearly leaves the Egyptian province out of the view. Second, there is a great deal of verisimilitude that the Jewish revolts of 115-117 suppressed by Trajan (98-117) and then the ensuing revolt led by Bar Kochba in 130-136 and callously suppressed by Hadrian (117-138) contributed to the fact that the Jewish and with it the first Judeo-Christian population was drastically purged if not entirely eradicated. These events were crucial for the definitive separation of Christians and Jews as well as the strong anti-Jewish elements found in the writings of the second century Christian Egyptian (usually anonymous or pseudonymous) authors.

The third plausible although more and more contested proposal is Walter Bauer’s thesis that both Jewish and Gentile Christians of Egypt based their theology and worship on syncretistic and gnostic precepts which with the later (ca the end of the second century) arrival of orthodox ecclesiastical leadership was deemed unorthodox, readily dismissed, and their literary legacy physically destroyed.

The first connections we have between earliest Christianity and Egyptian vicinities come from the New Testament writings although they point out only that the connections were there and not much more. The first remark is the Holy Family’s escape from Herod’s hand into Egypt (Mt 2:13-21). Egypt is mentioned in the New Testament for the second time at the Pentecost (Acts 2), where Egyptians are enumerated along with the other Diaspora Jews who came to Jerusalem for the Feast of Passover and Pentecost and witnessed the descent of the Holy Spirit on the Apostles right after Jesus ascended to Heaven.

Third, again in the Acts (18:24), we read that „a certain Jew named Apollo, born at Alexandria, an eloquent man, and mighty in the Scriptures, came to Ephesus”. Most likely the same Apollo is mentioned also in Acts 19:1; 1Cor 1:12; 3:5-6; 4:6; 16:12; Tit 3:13. At the same time, the Coptic church main-
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20 Cf. Griggs, *Early Egyptian Christianity*, p. 3-12.


tains a tradition that Mark the Evangelist was the founder of the church of Alexandria and Egypt. The sources that support this tradition, however, originate only with Eusebius’ recording of a local tradition in his *Historia Ecclesiastica*24, in which he had no document beyond the local legends to prove it accurate even if Mark’s presence in Alexandria is not an automatically dismissible fact25. The evidence we do have is of a less historiographical and more of a theological and apologetic nature and still may serve as a good source for a better understanding of the Christian community that grew prior to and during Clement’s career in Alexandria.

Roelof van den Broek, indirectly reflecting a New Testament socio-theological analysis of James D.G. Dunn, who plausibly differentiated four main tendencies of the first and early second century Christianity (Jewish, Hellenistic, Apocalyptic, and Early Catholic)26, identified six distinct Christian groups in second century Alexandria27. To begin with, some groups demonstrated a particular focus on apocalyptic urgency, as the author of the *Epistle of Barnabas* indicates. Second, Alexandrian Jewish wisdom theology and the closely associated conservative, i.e., Judaizing, type of Christianity of James is reflected in the *Gospel of the Hebrews*. Similarly the *Gospel of the Egyptians* most likely originated from the Greek speaking Egyptian Christians. Fourth, the more educated and philosophically oriented Christians who were later called Gnostics – Basilides (c. 85-145), Valentinus (c. 100-160), Theodotus (2nd century) – authored such treatises as the *Authoritative Teaching* or the *Gospel of Truth*28. R. van den Broek singled out also the Marcionites as a separate faction. Marcionites may have had close ties with the previous group since they have been also called Gnostics who rejected the Hebrew Scriptures altogether. And finally, people like Clement and his teacher Pantaenus (d. c. 216) most likely belonged to the group that preceded the formation of a Catholic, ecclesiastically oriented, congregation that resembled a similar process of structuring as the church of Irenaeus (c. 140-202) in Lyons.

24 Cf. Eusebius Caesariensis, HE II 16, 1. SCH 31, 71.
In the Acts of Apostles, Apollo indeed must have been a rabbi in Alexandria or a higher rank Jew „eloquent in Scriptures”, which indicates that there was an openness to, and reception of, a new interpretation of Hebrew Scriptures through the lenses of the Christian Gospel. The second factor is the educational infrastructure Christians inherited from such Jewish philosophers and thinkers as Aristeas (2nd century BCE), Aristobulus († c. 160), and Philo of Alexandria (c. 10 BCE - 40 CE). David Dawson pointed out that these philosophers long ago launched in Alexandria a careful and painstaking subordination of the Greek classical tradition to the Mosaic law. This process could imply that they reflected and actively engaged in the integration of the Jewish education into the larger Greco-Roman paideia. Their curriculum was designed to allow the élite children of Jewish families to be able to enter more easily into the larger society that surrounded them. To a lesser degree, it may have also been targeted to those of Greek, Roman, or other ethnic origin who were interested and willing to join the Jewish group. Membership and participation in the Jewish community did not put up barriers for communication with other communities, even if that communication was polemically flavored. On the contrary, the Jewish and Greco-Roman curricula established themselves in the framework of the same language of literature, philosophy, economy, and political science (cf. below Table 1).

The third factor based on the two preceding ones and probably most decisive for Judeo-Christians in not only an Egyptian milieu, was their openness to, and invitation of, the members of non-Jewish, viz., Greek, Roman, Egyptian (Coptic) and other groups, among which we can enlist Clement, for whom entrance into such congregation did not seem (at least as reflected in his writings) to be difficult at all. Only in the case of Origen do we see an internal tension between a freelance teacher and the ecclesiastical authority. On the contrary, Christians in Alexandria welcomed Clement’s and Origen’s pedagogical and instructive skills. Even if we accept the suggestion that Clement came from an old Roman aristocratic and therefore most likely financially independent family and did not need to collect salary for his lectures, he and teachers like him still had a guaranteed support from the congregation in which they worked, since the Jewish synagogue structure that included the Beth Sefer (פש חיב), House of Book, and Beth Talmud (חיב דמלח), House of Learning, was on the budget of the congregation.29, 30


30 Cf. Safrai, Education and the Study of Torah, p. 956-7. He also reports that even though the teachers were paid for their instructions it was rather represented as a reimbursement for the time they could spend while earning their bread elsewhere or a salary for teaching punctuation and accents which are not part of the Torah. Teaching of the Torah was deemed to be a noble enterprise that commanded it to be done for free and prohibited the payment for it; cf. also Mt 10:8; Derek Eretz Zuta 4.
Introduction to letters and access to texts was seen in late antique Egypt as access to a higher social status, which customarily was guarded by the Greco-Roman élite that exercised and promoted education in its own exclusive circles and eagerly ensured that outsiders stayed out of it. Ptolemaic Alexandria allowed for a range of social classes, some of which enjoyed certain privileges without necessarily being full citizens, demesmen\(^{31}\). Despite the Roman prohibitions of intermarriage, the mingling of ethnic groups was not a lacking phenomenon but was common especially in Egypt. Not only in the province, but even in a city with a population of nearly half a million like Alexandria, local teachers could not fully satisfy the demand for instruction in letters and professions. Ewa Wipszycka emphasized that Christian communities in Alexandria from the very beginning of its existence participated in making elementary education available to its members as well as to newcomers, mainly Greeks but also people of other ethnic groups. The latter included those who traveled to Alexandria and Egypt from all over the world to gain quick success, economic stability, social reputation, and a status that were unavailable to them elsewhere\(^{32}\). Many outsiders regarded Egypt as an exotic paradise where things were happening dynamically and opportunities were abundant. And they did have good reason to think so\(^{33}\). Growing economic prosperity boosted by the Ptolemies and reformed by the Romans and its strategic location fitly chosen by Macedonians in the third century BCE led Alexandria to be praised as: „Seat of the immortal gods, august and wealthy, foundation of Alexandria! The gentle climate and fertile soil of Egypt provide you with all good things, happy land! There is abundant grain, infinity flax; from your harbors sail ships with rolls of papyrus and brilliant glass”\(^{34}\).

Thus, those who lived in Alexandria and those who came to it later were able to integrate into a society, which shared an unparalleled diversity but also a common interest and goal. Besides the economic enticement and relative
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religious tolerance, educational institutions, either Greek, Roman, or Jewish, were perhaps the most adapting and integrating vehicles through which the city reached its importance and fame in antiquity. Christian groups, regardless of their Apocalyptic, Judeo-Christian, Christian-Gnostic, or Catholic congregational „denomination”, consisted of educated rabbis like Apollo or later of such teachers as Valentinus, Clement and Origen. Even if they were of Jewish descent, these teachers were unburdened with the necessity to teach in Hebrew. After Paul’s missionary allowance to accept uncircumcised, Judeo-Christian churches and schools were open to accept non-Jews into their circles. Finally, and not less importantly, they had a stability of salary which allowed them to serve their congregations for a fixed compensation. Such highly educated teachers like Clement made the élite luxury of Greco-Roman paideia accessible to essentially everyone who wanted it.

As B.A. Pearson most recently pointed out, the traditional perception that early Christian Alexandrian teachers and their audience were the people of education and means who enjoyed a comfortable life as part of Egyptian middle class is true only to a limited degree. There is more evidence to support the view that the new membership of the Christian congregations came from all social strata and ages, both literate and of means, as well as illiterate and of little or no means. Christian groups, supported by everyone who belonged to it, afforded to keep their doors open to everyone 35. The late antique structure of the family exercised an important social role in the dynamic growth of the second century Alexandria. This is why Peter R.L. Brown dubs early church both in Rome and Alexandria „a loose confederation of believing households” 36, thereby making participation in the synagogue/church life open not only to the adults but also to their children, who, as was well established in the Second Temple Jewish custom both in Palestine and in Diaspora, had to be exposed to the study of letters from the age of six in the Beth Sepher (בֵּית ספר), the House of Book, and from the age of twelve or thirteen and on in the Beth Talmud (בֵּית תָּלמְד), the House of Learning 37. For those who wanted to

35 Cf. Pearson, Gnosticism and Christianity, p. 21, made this statement against A. Jakab (Ecclesia alexandrina, pp. 175-214), who was of the opinion that Clement’s audience must have come from the middle class.
36 P.R.L. Brown, The Body and Society. Men, Women, and Sexual Renunciation in Early Christianity, Lectures on the History of Religions N.S. 13, New York 1988, 135. P.R.L. Brown rightly reminds us that we should not forget that, „for Clement, Christ’s words «when two or three are gathered in my name» meant father, mother, and a child praying in a Christian home”.
37 Shemuel Safrai (Education and the Study of Torah, p. 955-957) describes Beth Sepher (בֵּית ספר), the House of Book, which was the study of letters and reading on megillah (a small scroll) by Sofer, the teacher of letters, who taught children of age six for about five years (usually from 9 a.m. or early in the morning until the noon) and Beth Talmud (בֵּית תָּלמְד), the House of Learning, which was the study of Mishnah or oral Law by Mashneh, the teacher of oral Law, who taught children of the age twelve or thirteen during different lengths of years (five, six or even longer) with two
continue their studies, they could do so in the higher scribal rabbinical schools that were not lacking in Alexandria prior to Trajan’s pogrom. This Jewish educational program corresponded to the Greek and Roman tiers of education and distinguished the elementary, secondary, and higher levels of paideia. In other words, sofer became the pedagogue, while rabbi and mashneh became the didaskalos and presbyteros (a new title which was first hardly distinguishable from didaskalos but with time acquired more of a clerical flavor).

In the course of the church’s progression into Greco-Roman milieu, by the end of the third century most of the teacher’s functions shifted to institutionalized ones. This shift took place from the privately run congregations and/or congregational schools. These schools had been subjugated by ecclesiastical officials, presbyters and bishops, who centralized and administered the catechetical institutions and incorporated them into the larger communal infrastructure. In fact, towards the fourth century and afterwards, the institutionalization of Christianity resulted in a widely avowed exclusivity of teaching granted only to ecclesiastically approved officeholders, which by some scholars today is seen as the shift back from the „educational” revolution commenced by early Christian teachers.

Alexandria is no exception in this regard. Egyptian Christianity represented a multifaceted tradition formed by a number of coexisting schools, both or-


thodox and heterodox. However, as soon as the work of Irenaeus arrived in Alexandria some twenty years or earlier after it was originally produced, the more stringently defined Christianity was raising its voice to draw a clearer line between „orthodoxy” and „heresy”\(^39\). Jerome (c. 347-419) informs that before the episcopacy of Dionysius (248-264/265), the presbyters of Alexandria chose one among themselves to be bishop, and he acted like one without consecration\(^40\). The clash between Demetrius and Origen, which resulted in Origen leaving the city of Alexandria, is well recorded, as C.W. Griggs reconstructs the circumstances. Since Origen was ordained and consecrated by bishops of Palestine and was winning international reputation, he became a rival for Demetrius and was able to possibly change the latter in episcopal service\(^41\). After Origen was forced to leave Alexandria, his disciple Heraclas (d. 248) became the head of the Alexandrian school, and he also became the next bishop of Alexandria after Demetrius’ death in 233 marking a complete takeover of the ecclesial supervision of the formerly freelance catechetical school\(^42\).

Table 1. Ancient Tiers of Education\(^43\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greek(^44)</th>
<th>Roman</th>
<th>Jewish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>Three schools (grammar, music, and physical education)</td>
<td><em>Ludus/Ludi</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Advanced grammatical studies</td>
<td><em>Schola</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education</td>
<td>Philosophy, rhetoric, or law/medicine</td>
<td>Rhetorical schools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\(^{40}\) Cf. Hieronymus, *Epistula* 146, 1, 6, CSEL 56, 310, 8-10: „Nam et Alexandrianae a Marco evangelista usque ad Heraclam et Dionysium episcopos presbyteri semper unum de se electum et in excelsiori gradu conlocatum episcopum nominabant”.


\(^{43}\) The table with my minor additions is taken from J.R. Estep Jr., *Philosophers, Scribes, Rhetors … and Paul? The Educational Background of the New Testament*, „Christian Education Journal” 2 (2005) 33 (Table 1).

\(^{44}\) Greek education in the classical period had only two tiers, but later developed a third during the Hellenistic period, which was then mimicked by the Romans.
TRANSFORMACJA EDUKACJI JAKO WARUNEK USTANOWIENIA STOLICY BISKUPIEJ W ALEKSANDRII W II I III WIEKU

(Streszczenie)

Starożytna Aleksandria to kosmopolityczna metropolia, swoiste skrzyżowanie kultur i religii Cesarstwa Rzymskiego oraz uznanego centrum naukowe świata antycznego. Tę pozycję miasto zawdzięczało Ptolemeuszu. Owa rozwijała się w okresie helenistycznym stolica wschodniego basenu Morzy Śródziemnego stała się w II i III wieku po Chr. miejscem spotkania trzech najwyższych nurtów religijno-społecznych epoki: helenizmu, judaizmu i chrystianizmu. Chrześcijanie aleksydrjcy, aby uniezależnić się od silnych wpływów kwitnącego w mieście życia intelektualnego hellenizmu i judaizmu, zostali niejako zmuszeni do stworzenia własnego środowiska intelektualnego, którego podstawą stał się autonomiczny system edukacji. Przy jego tworzeniu szczególne miejsce przypadło judaizmowi. Funkcja nauczyciela, rabbi, niezwykle ważna w judaizmie, została przejęta przez młode chrześcijaństwo aleksandryjskie i zmodyfikowana w duchu grecko-romskiej paidei. W konsekwencji powstał swego rodzaju nowy typ chrześcijańskiego nauczyciela-pedagoga. To właśnie hellenistyczny model edukacji, paideia, dopomógł prawdopodobnie chrystianizmowi uniezależnić się od modelu edukacji proponowanej przez judaizm. Było to również ściśle związane z napływem prozelitów helleńskich do młodej wspólnoty aleksandryjskich wyznawców Chrystusa. W konsekwencji nastąpiło w tamtejszym chrześcijaństwie przejście od modelu edukacji judaistycznej do modelu autonomicznego, w którym silna pozycja przypadła „pedagogice” grecko-rzymskiej. Transfomacja ta miała m.in. na celu podniesienie rangi szkolnictwa chrześcijańskiego i uczynienia go atrakcyjnym dla helleńskich prozelitów. Klasyczna paideia pozwoliła chrześcijanom wejść do grona wykształconej społeczności metropolii nad Nilą, a biskupom Aleksandrii – następcom św. Marka – odgrywać wagę rolę w życiu miasta. Owo skrzyżowanie wpływów judaizmu i hellenizmu widać dobrze w twórczości Klemensa Aleksandryjskiego i Orygenesa, których dokonania wzmacniały (mimo wszystko) pozycję lokalnego biskupa. Drugim dowodem krzyżowania się rzeczonych wpływów jest powstanie gnozy chrześcijańskiej. W ten sposób Aleksandria stała się miejscem koezystencji ortodokcji i heterodokcji w łonie chrystianizmu. Ów system edukacji chrześcijańskiej oparty na gruncie dziedzictwa wielokulturowej metropolii znajdował się zatem niejako z konieczności pod kontrolą hierarchii kościelnej. Tak stworzono podwaliny pod przyszłą teologiczną hegemonię starożytnej patriarchatu aleksandryjskiego.